

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/817,441	04/02/2004	Osamu Miyazawa	9319A-000753	1655	
27572 75	11/17/2006		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			ADDISON, KAREN B		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/817,441	MIYAZAWA, OSAMU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Karen B. Addison	2834		
	10/817,441 Examiner		

	Karen B. Addison	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 9/27/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	-	to the first set of the	tabassas la tatas. Ja
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause .
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	•		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		eria de Brata de La companya de la c	4 12 41
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>14-18 and 20</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: 4-6 and 9.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,7,8,10-13 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will no	t be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	t does NOT place the application in	a condition for allower	ana hannuna:
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application if	i condition for allowar	ice because.
12. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		•
13.  Other:			
		X \	
	ÓARF	TEN SCHEBERG	

SUPERVISORY FATERY EXAMINATED 26/2

**Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)** 

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As indicated in the final rejection issued on 9/27/06; Zumeris show an operating apparatus in fig.8-9 comprising an element(114), a frame (115), a contact element which is stationary with respect to the frame(102),a vibrating element(109 and111) fixedly mounted on the element in a state where the contact portion abuts the contacted element, the vibrating element receives the rotation force from the contact element when, the vibrate element receives rotation force from the contacted element when the vibrating element vibrates so, that the element is rotated together with the vibrating element by means of reaction force.